ALBERTA COLLEGE of FAMILY PHYSICIANS

2027 RESEARCH SHOWCASE

HOSTED AT THE FAMILY MEDICINE SUMMIT (SUMMIT)

FEBRUARY 26, 2027

The 2027 Research Showcase welcomes abstract submissions on the following types of scholarly activities:

RESEARCH	PROGRAM EVALUATION	PRACTICE QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
• Research systematically investigates materials and sources to establish facts, test hypotheses, or generate new knowledge. • It involves data collection, analysis, and publishing, often aimed at exploring treatments, improving patient outcomes, or understanding disease patterns. • Projects answer specific scientific questions, contributing to or applying knowledge in novel ways.	• Program evaluation systematically assesses a program's design, implementation, and outcomes to determine effectiveness and identify improvements. • Using qualitative and quantitative methods, it gathers data to evaluate goal achievement and assess the impact of policy or operational changes.	• Practice Quality Improvement enhances healthcare delivery by continuously monitoring clinical practices, identifying areas for improvement, and implementing changes to optimize patient care. • It uses a systematic, data-driven approach to improve care within a specific institution or practice, focusing on immediate improvements in effectiveness, efficiency, and safety.

SUBMISSION DETAILS

Deadline for Abstract Submission: Friday, November 27, 2026, at 11:59 PM

- Submissions may be for poster or oral presentations.
- All abstracts will undergo peer review and scoring to determine acceptance and identify submissions selected for adjudication, **based on the quality and relevance of the abstract submission** and available presentation space.
- Acceptance decisions will be communicated by **mid January 2027.**
- The 2027 Family Medicine Summit and Research Showcase will be a hybrid event. Projects accepted for oral presentations or the Poster Welcome Reception must be presented in person, on-site. **Accepted projects will be required to submit a maximum two-minute elevator pitch video, which will be available for virtual attendees to view.**
- Adjudication for both oral and poster presentations will take place onsite at Summit and will no longer take place prior to the conference.

ABSTRACT GUIDELINES

- The maximum number of words is 350.
- Do not use bullets or special characters in your abstract, as these may become unreadable during processing.
- Clear, well-written abstracts help reviewers accurately assess and score submissions.
- **TIP:** Work first in a word processor (e.g., Microsoft Word). Then, copy-paste your abstract onto our online submission form.

RESEARCH SUBMISSION

For completed projects:

- Oral presentations submissions must be completed **by the submission deadline**. Poster submissions are open to both completed and ongoing studies.
- Research is considered completed when results are available and the data has been analyzed and is ready for presentation, even if the project being submitted is apart of a larger study/project.

For projects that are still in progress:

- Sufficient data must be available at the time of submission to allow for an adequate evaluation. Insufficient data may affect the review score.
- If your research is in progress at the submission deadline but is expected to be completed by the time of the Summit, please submit it as a **Poster: Research in Progress**.

ABSTRACT FORMAT

Introduction
Objective/s
Methods (Include, as appropriate: Study Design; Sample/Participants; Intervention/Instruments; Outcome Measures; Analysis)
Results (If the research is in progress, state the anticipated results.)
Conclusion/s

PROGRAM EVALUATION SUBMISSION

Only completed program evaluations are accepted. In-progress program evaluations will no longer be accepted. Ensure information provided includes outcomes and how the program or policy has been evaluated for effectiveness.

ABSTRACT FORMAT

Introduction
Objective
Methods (Include, as appropriate: Design, Target Audience, Program Description)
Evaluation Results
Conclusion/Recommendation

PRACTICE QUALITY IMPROVEMENT SUBMISSION

ABSTRACT FORMAT

Introduction (Including, as applicable: the problem, the setting/team)
Objectives/Aim
Methods (including, as appropriate: interventions, measures, PDSA cycles, analysis)
Results
Conclusions/Recommendations

CRITERIA

Reviewers evaluate and score abstracts based on their relevance to family medicine/primary care, existing conflicts of interest, and overall clarity and quality of the study.

Research	Program Evaluation	PQI
• Relevance to primary care • Clarity of research question • Originality and innovativeness of question • Clarity of study design and quality/appropriateness of methods • Clarity of results • Appropriateness of conclusions	• Relevance to primary care • Originality and innovativeness • Problem and intervention are clearly addressed • Evaluative component identified • Concise presentation of data, including statistics • Identification of improvements • Conclusions aligned with objectives and supported by data	• Relevance to primary care • Clear description of the problem and its significance • Description of setting and team involvement • Inclusion of AIM statement • Appropriateness of the intervention • Clear description of outcome measures • Explanation of Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles described • Analytical approach to the problem • Conclusions are appropriate and supported by evidence